

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/542,980	03/09/2006	Jani Vare	006136.00007	2022	
22907 BANNER & V	7590 WITCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER			
1100 13th STREET, N.W.			ZEWARI, SAYED T		
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005-4051		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/01/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/542,980	VARE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SAYED T. ZEWARI	2617		
ONTED I. ELIMAN	2011		

	SAYED T. ZEWARI	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time						
periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, which no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	er Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checket. A vary reply received by the Office later in an tree months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	,						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);					
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>							
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a ∈	corresponding number of finally reis	ected claims					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sorresponding number of finally reje	oted ciairris.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		.,,					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected: 1-61.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a							
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:							
# FOTED KINICAID!							
/LESTER KINCAID/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/S. T. Z./ Examiner, Art Unit 2617						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant has amended claims requiring further search and reconsideration. In the mean time the applicant's argument is not persuasive because the applicant's "descriptor" is the same as that of Fischer's "control information". Limitations from the specification are not read into the claims, thus As broadly claimed, the "control information" reads on "descriptor".